

**Q&A #16 to
Request for Proposals (RFP)
Statewide Public Safety Wireless Communications System
RFP #060B9800036
November 10, 2009**

Ladies/Gentlemen:

The Department of Information Technology received the following questions by e-mail for the above referenced RFP, and it is answered below for all Offerors:

Question 186: To help us meet the intent of the changes, can you please explain the rationale for the Addendum #18 item #10 requirement change?

Answer: The State determined that Addendum 18 Item #10, like all other Addenda items, was made in the best interest of State government.

Question 187: Is it the State's intention that the system should support multiple manufactures' subscriber units now and in the future?

Answer: Yes.

Question 188: Can Item #10 in this Addendum be rescinded?

Answer: No.

Question 189: Would the State confirm for vendors that the coverage maps are for information only and that the sole determinant of coverage success is 95% Service Area reliability with DAQ 3.4 for in-building performance?

Answer: The coverage prediction maps are for the State to evaluate the vendor's coverage design. The RF coverage prediction shall provide a minimum of 95% bounded area percent coverage with a tile reliability of 97% as defined in TSB 88 where the bounded area is the service area. Vendors shall describe how they will attain the 97% reliability required in the RFP, inclusive of design parameters not limited to standard deviation, land use attenuation, and RF parameter settings for base stations, mobiles and portables. The sole determinant of coverage success is as defined in Appendix 16 of the RFP.

Question 190: Would the State consider hosting separate conference calls with each vendor so that any questions about the contents of the Revised Proposal could be addressed?

Answer: No.